

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/616,025	07/09/2003	Dean L. Kamen	1062/D02	1110
2101 7	7590 06/04/2004		EXAMINER	
BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP 125 SUMMER STREET			LEV, BRUCE ALLEN	
	A 02110-1618		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Amplication No.		<u>S1</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/616,025	KAMEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The SEAR DIO DATE CO.	Bruce A. Lev	3634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 ( after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion.  5, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 July 2003.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐			
Applicant may not request that any objection t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c			
	ne Examiner. Note the attacher	Office Action or form P1O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a second comments.</li> </ul>	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage received.  BRUCE A. LEV	po pos
		PRIMARY EXAMINE	
Attachment(s)		/ / / .	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944)     Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pat	8) Paper No(s	dummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/616,025

Art Unit: 3634

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-7 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over the claims of copending Application No. 09/325,976. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a device comprising a payload support; ground contacting members; a motorized drive; and an elevation mechanism.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,025

Art Unit: 3634

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over the claims of U. S. Patent No.'s *5,701,965*; *5,971,091*; and *5,975,225*, since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a device comprising a payload support; ground contacting members; a motorized drive; and an elevation mechanism.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3634

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Boyer** 2001/0047905.

Boyer sets forth a device comprising a payload support; ground contacting members 30; a motorized drive; a hydraulic elevation mechanism (inclusive of members 50 and 53); and a ladder.

Claims 1, 2, 4, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Daniel, III 6,405,831.** 

Art Unit: 3634

Daniel, III sets forth a device comprising a payload support; ground contacting members; a motorized drive; an elevation mechanism; a ladder; a counterweight; a stabilizer foot; and the method of conveying.

Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Morrell et al 6,311,794.* 

Morrell et al set forth a device comprising a payload support; ground contacting members; a motorized drive; an elevation mechanism; a counterweight; a stabilizer foot 32; and the method of conveying.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce A. Lev whose telephone number is (703) 308-7470.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

5/28/2004

Bruce A. Lev Primary Examiner

**Group 3600**